

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,812	11/10/2005	Markus Wassum		1866
60333 EDWIN D. SC	7590 06/15/200 HINDLER	7	EXAMINER	
FIVE HIRSCH AVENUE NGO, LIEN M		IEN M		
P.O. BOX 966 CORAM, NY 1	1727-0966		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			3754	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/550,812	WASSUM ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>
	LIEN TM NGO	3754	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address -	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNION IN 136(a). In no event, however, may a lin.  eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Ali	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communica BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	<u> 23 September 2005</u> .		
· <u> </u>	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	*	•	s is
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-15</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-15</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docur</li> </ol>	ments have been received.		
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority docur</li></ol>			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National Stage	
application from the International Br	•	. ;	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachmont/s)			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	8) Paper No	s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	Informal Patent Application	

Art Unit: 3754

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 9-11 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schellenber et al. (2004/0035883) in view of Buchner et al. (4,735,336).

Shellenberg et al. disclose, in figs, 1 and 2, a flat and low pourer-closure comprising a bottom part having a base plate 1, an upwardly projection 7; a hinged cover 3a; a break off plate 1a; a first and second bead 15, 17; and a pour lip 24.

Buchner et al teach, in fig. 2, a break off base plate 20 formed with a drain opening and a thick foil.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the invention of Schellenber et al. with the break off base plate formed with a drain opening and a thick foil, as taught by Buchner et al., for providing a barrier seal layer and for facilitate of breaking the seal layer by the drain opening.

3. Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schellenber et al. in view of Buchner et al. and further in view of Wagner (6,481,588).

Art Unit: 3754

Wagner teaches a hinge of a closure lid comprising two hinge levers and an open space between the two hinge levers with a tooth.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the hinge in the Schulenburg invention with two hinge levers and an open space between the two hinge levers with a tooth, in view teaching of Wagner, in order to provide a snap hinge for the closure lid.

4. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schellenberg et al. in view of Buchner et al. and further in view of Hicks et al. (5,839,627).

Hicks et al. teach ridges on a bottom pare and a bottom side of a break-off plate. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide ridges on the bottom part and the bottom side of a break-off plate in the invention of Schellenberg et al. in view of Buchner et al., as taught y Hicks et al., in order to facilitate of welding the barrier seal layer to the bottom part and the bottom side of a break-off plate.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LIEN TM NGO whose telephone number is 571-272-4545. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM -6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, KEVIN SHAVER can be reached on 571-272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/550,812

Art Unit: 3754

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LIEN TM NGO Primary Examiner Art Unit 3754

mhm

June 11, 2007